

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ವಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಫ್ಯಾಕ್ಟ್ಸ್ ಫೈಂಡಿಂಗ್ ಕಮಿಟಿ ಅವರ ವರದಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಅಂಶ ಅಂಶವನ್ನು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯ.—ಆ ಕಮಿಟಿಯ ವರದಿ ಬರಲಿ, ನೋಡೋಣ.

Facts Finding Committee for formation of United Karnataka.

Q.—18. Sri K. LAKKAPPA (Channarayapattana).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether they have appointed a Facts Finding Committee in connection with the formation of a United Karnataka;

(b) what are the terms of reference to this Committee;

(c) how many sittings this Committee had so far;

(d) whether they have completed their work;

(e) if not completed, when are they expected to complete their work?

A.—Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—

(a) Yes.

(b) *Vide* annexure printed below.

(c) Six sittings.

(d) No.

(e) Before 31st October 1954.

* ANNEXURE.

FACTS FINDING COMMITTEE.

The terms of reference of the Committee are—

(i) to gather data of the area and population of the Kannada-speaking people in the States of Madras, Bombay, Hyderabad and Coorg;

(ii) to assess the level of development in those areas particularly in the fields of Education, Medical and Public Health, Rural Development, Industries, Irrigation and Power;

(iii) to ascertain the availability of natural resources;

(iv) to collect figures relating to the revenue and expenditure, and assets and liabilities relating to those areas;

(v) to assess the progress of the Five-Year Plan in those areas; and

(vi) to analyse the financial and administrative problems that are likely to arise.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಲಕ್ಕಪ್ಪ.—ಫ್ಯಾಕ್ಟ್ಸ್ ಫೈಂಡಿಂಗ್ ಕಮಿಟಿಯ ಅಂಶ ಅಂಶಗಳ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ನಾವು ಒಂದು ತೀರ್ಮಾನವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾದ ಸಂದರ್ಭವಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ನಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಅವರು ಗಮನಿಸಬೇಕಾದದ್ದು ಸೂಕ್ತವಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯ.—ಆ ಕಮಿಟಿ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಹೊರಗಡೆ ಪಬ್ಲಿಷ್ ಆದ ಮೇಲೆ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಅಥವಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಆ ಕಮಿಟಿಗೆ ತಲಪಿಸಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಲಕ್ಕಪ್ಪ.—ಆ ಕಮಿಟಿಯವರು 31ನೇ ಆಕ್ಟೋಬರ್ ಆ ಬೈರಿನೊಳಗೆ ವರದಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸೂಚಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯ.—ಅದನ್ನು 3 ತಿಂಗಳು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ಕಾಣಿಸಿದ್ದೆವು. ಅವರು ಇನ್ನೂ 10-15 ದಿವಸ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈ ತಿಂಗಳ ಕೊನೆಗೆ ವರದಿ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ, ಬರೆಯುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಲಕ್ಕಪ್ಪ.—ಆ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಇಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯ.—ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಇಡಬೇಕೆ ಬಡಬೇಕೆ ಎಂಬ ನಿರ್ಧಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಇನ್ನೂ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ. ಸಭೆಯ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಯಾವ ರೀತಿ ಇದೆಯೋ ಆ ರೀತಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ನಡೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ನಿರ್ಧರಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Next Questions. Questions Nos. 79 and 83 may be taken together. They deal with the same subject.

Akhila Karnataka Formation.

Q.—79. Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether they have considered and come to a decision regarding the future of Mysore and the formation of Akhila Karnataka;

(b) whether the Government have sent any memorandum to the States Reorganisation Commission and whether the Chief Minister or any other Minister interviewed the Members of the Commission and gave evidence;

(c) whether the Government will ascertain the views of the House in respect of this question?

A.—Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—

(a) Government are considering : but they have not yet taken a decision.

(b) No.

(c) Yes.

States Re-organisation Commission (Transfer of Bellary District to Andhra).

Q.—83. Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether they are aware that representations are made before the States Re-organisation Commission urging that the entire area of Bellary District up to the Tungabhadra Project should be transferred to Andhra ;

(b) the steps that Government have taken to counteract this move ;

(c) whether the Government have urged before the Commission for transfer to Mysore State the three taluks of Adoni, Alur and Rayadurga to form a convenient administrative unit ?

A.—Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—

(a) Yes, by certain sections.

(b) Government have urged before the Commission that the said representation does not deserve consideration.

(c) No.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Was it not necessary on the part of the Government to come to a decision before the Fazal Ali Commission visited the State ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—No, Sir.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is it not a fact that the Commission visited the State to ascertain the views of the Government and the public ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Yes, Sir.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Did the Fazal Ali Commission invite the opinion of the Chief Minister and other Ministers and also of the Government regarding the future set up of Mysore ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Yes, Sir.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—When they invited the opinion of the Government, what action did the Government take and did they offer any views or memorandum ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—We have taken time, Sir.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is the Commission going to visit Mysore once again to collect the views of Mysore and Mysore Government ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I have no idea.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is it not a fact that the Fazal Ali Commission insisted that the Government should give its views and said that they are not going to visit Mysore State once again ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—My Hon'ble friend is not correctly informed, Sir.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is the Chief Minister going to assure that the Fazal Ali Commission is going to visit the State again for the same purpose ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I am not in a position to give any such assurance, Sir.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—When it is not certain that the Commission is going to revisit the State, how will the Government place its views before the Commission ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—By correspondence or through the Government representative at some place where it is sitting.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Did the Chief Minister or any other Minister visit or interview the Commission in their personal capacity or did they offer their views in their personal capacity ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—No, Sir.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is it not necessary and obligatory on the part of Government to have taken the necessary steps to place their views in time before the Commission ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—The question is what is 'in time'. In time the Government opinion will be communicated to the Commission based on the consensus of opinion of this House.